

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No. **8:10ML2151 JVS (FMOx)**

Date April 22, 2010

8:10CV00458-JVS (FMOx)

2:10CV01927-JVS (FMOx)

2:10CV02528-JVS (FMOx)

2:10CV02600-JVS (FMOx)

Title

**IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED  
ACCELERATION MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Present: The Honorable James V. Selna

Karla J. Tunis

Deputy Clerk

Not Present

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Not Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

**Proceedings: (In Chambers)**

The above referenced individual cases were transferred to this Court as related to 8: 10ML2151 JVS (FMOx), In Re Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Marketing, Sales Practices and Product Liability Litigation. Attached hereto are copies of the April 14, 2010 Order No. 1: Initial Conference and April 19, 2010 Order re Clarifying the Scope of Applications for Lead and Liaison Counsel with a revised Exhibit A that was issued in this MDL action.

Initials of Preparer

0 : 00

kjt

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 In Re: Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended  
11 Acceleration Marketing, Sales  
12 Practices, and Products Liability  
13 Litigation

CASE NO: **8: 10ML2151 JVS(FMOx)**

14 This document relates to:

ORDER NO. 1: INITIAL  
CONFERENCE

15 ALL CASES (See Exhibit A)

Date: May 14, 2010

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: 411 W. Fourth Street,  
Santa Ana, CA 92701  
Court Room 10C

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 By order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (J.P.M.L.), the  
22 actions listed in Exhibit A were transferred and assigned to this Court for  
23 coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.  
24 Additional tag-along actions may be transferred.

25  
26 Because these cases merit special attention as complex litigation, the  
27 Court ORDERS:

28 April 14, 2010

1       1. *Conference.* Counsel for all parties shall appear for a conference on  
2 May 13, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 10C of the Ronald Reagan Federal  
3 Building and U.S. Courthouse at 411 W. Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA, 92701.  
4 The conference will be held for the purposes specified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a),  
5 16(b), 16(c), 16(f), and 26(f). Counsel are requested to check in with the  
6 Courtroom Deputy at least 15 minutes in advance.

7  
8       2. *Preliminary Thoughts.* The Court provides the following preliminary  
9 thoughts in order to assist counsel in focusing on the immediate issues presented  
10 by these cases. These thoughts are of course subject to revision upon  
11 submission of the views of the parties and counsel, which the Court invites.

12       2.1. *Structure.* Because this docket involves both personal injury actions  
13 and actions for economic loss, the Court believes a somewhat unique structure is  
14 required.

15  
16             • The Court envisions appointment of a liaison counsel or liaison  
17 counsel committee to manage the core discovery requirements for the personal  
18 injury actions. By core discovery, the Court means discovery of the  
19 development, marketing, sales, manufacture, and administration of the Toyota  
20 products and product programs at issue in this case. Because each personal  
21 injury action will undoubtedly entail discovery unique to that action, the Court  
22 believes that each plaintiff's counsel will have a role in addressing those needs.  
23 To the extent possible, individual case needs will be combined and coordinated  
24 with core discovery.

25  
26             • The Court envisions appointment of one or more counsel to act as  
27 lead counsel for the economic loss cases. Lead counsel would also act as liaison

1 to counsel for the economic loss cases. Lead counsel would have the  
2 responsibility of preparing a consolidated complaint covering the issues in all  
3 the economic loss cases.

4  
5 • The Court envisions appointment of a core discovery committee,  
6 comprised of counsel with liaison responsibility for the personal injury cases and  
7 counsel having lead counsel responsibility for the economic loss cases. The  
8 committee would be responsible for identifying and conducting core discovery.  
9 Along with counsel for the Toyota parties, the core discovery committee would  
10 have the responsibility of drafting and presenting to the Court an overall  
11 scheduling plan, which would include a discovery plan. The Court envisions  
12 that the scheduling plan would address at least the issues set forth in Exhibit B  
13 hereto.

14 2.2. *Immediate Goals.* The goals of the Scheduling Conference are three-  
15 fold: adoption of a structure for organizing the docket, appointment of counsel to  
16 fill out the structure, and adoption of a working definition of core discovery.

17  
18 2.3. *Next Step.* With the goals of the initial Scheduling Conference in  
19 place, the Court will set a further conference in approximately 30 days to  
20 consider the adoption of an overall scheduling plan, including a discovery plan.

21  
22 2.4. *Role of Counsel.* The management of this docket holds unique  
23 challenges. The Court looks forward to benefitting from the knowledge and  
24 experience of seasoned counsel to arrive at solutions which will ensure the  
25 orderly preparation of these cases. Counsel, the parties and the Court will all  
26 need to work collaboratively.

1           3. *Particulars of the Scheduling Conference.*

2  
3           3.1. *Representation.* Parties with similar interests may (but are not  
4 required to) agree on a single attorney, or a limited number of attorneys, to act  
5 on their joint behalf at the conference. A party will not, by designating an  
6 attorney to represent his or her interests at the conference, be precluded from  
7 other representation during the litigation. Attendance at the conference will not  
8 waive objections to jurisdiction, service, or like matters. Telephonic  
9 appearances will not be permitted.

10           3.2. *Other Counsel.* This Order is being mailed to the persons shown on  
11 Exhibit A, which has been prepared from the list of counsel making appearances  
12 with the J.P.M.L. Counsel on this list are requested to forward a copy of this  
13 Order to other attorneys who should be notified of the conference. An updated  
14 service list will be prepared after the conference.

15           3.3. *Other Parties.* Persons who are not named as parties in this litigation  
16 but may later be joined as parties, and persons who are parties in related  
17 litigation pending in other federal and state courts, are invited to attend in person  
18 or by counsel.

19  
20           4. *Preparations for the Scheduling Conference.*

21  
22           4.1. *Rules.* Counsel are expected to be familiar with the Manual for  
23 Complex Litigation, Fourth. Counsel are also required to review the Local  
24 Rules for the Central District of California, General Orders 08-02 (electronic  
25 filing) and 08-11(electronic filing), and this Court's general procedures, all of  
26 which may be found on the Central District of California website at  
27 [www.cacd.uscourts.gov](http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov).

1           4. 2. *Initial Conference of Counsel.* Before the conference, counsel shall  
2 confer and seek consensus to the extent possible concerning the immediate  
3 issues and any other matters they wish to bring to the Court at this time. The  
4 Court designates:

5  
6           Steve W. Berman, Esq.  
7           Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
8           1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300  
9           Seattle, WA 98101

10  
11          Elizabeth J. Cabraser, Esq.  
12          Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP  
13          275 Battery Street, Suite 3000  
14          San Francisco, CA 94111

15  
16          Cari K. Dawson, Esq.  
17          Alston & Byrd LLP  
18          1201 West Peachtree Street  
19          Atlanta, GA 30309

20  
21          Marc M. Seltzer, Esq.  
22          Susman Godfrey LLP  
23          1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950  
24          Los Angeles, CA 90067

25 These temporary designations are not a precursor of future appointments, but  
26 simply a means to initiate the process. The Court expects that all counsel  
27 desiring to do so will have a full opportunity to participate in the discussion and

28 April 14, 2010

1 the report which the Court requests.

2  
3 4.3. *Preliminary Report.* Counsel will submit to the Court by Friday,  
4 April 30, 2010, a report including the following:

- 5  
6 • Proposed structure of counsel.
- 7  
8 • Definition of core discovery.
- 9  
10 • A brief statement of counsel's understanding of the facts and the  
11 critical legal issues. Any potentially dispositive issues should be separately  
12 identified. These statements will not be binding, will not waive claims or  
13 defenses, and may not be offered into evidence against a party in later  
14 proceedings.
- 15  
16 • A list of all pending motions.
- 17  
18 • A list of all outstanding discovery.
- 19  
20 • A list of all known related cases pending in state or federal court and  
21 their current status.
- 22  
23 • A list of all parents, subsidiaries, and companies affiliated with the  
24 corporate parties and of all counsel associated in the litigation to help the Court  
25 identify any problems of recusal or disqualification.

26 Where possible, the Court urges counsel to set forth their views in a single report  
27 which notes both consensus views and divergent views where that is the case.

28 April 14, 2010

1 Any party may supplement the report with a filing of no more than ten pages.

2  
3 *5. Interim Measures.*

4  
5 *5.1. Admission of Counsel.* All attorneys admitted to practice and in good  
6 standing in any United States District Court are admitted *pro hac vice*.

7 Association of local counsel is not required. If not already registered, counsel  
8 will need to register for electronic filing (CM/ECF) in the Central District of  
9 California. (More information can be found on the Court's website and in  
10 General Orders 08-02 and 08-11).

11  
12 *5.2. Preservation of Records.* Until the parties reach agreement on a  
13 plan for preservation of records, all parties and their counsel must preserve all  
14 evidence that may be relevant to these actions.

15  
16 *5.3. Stay of Motions Practice.* Except for motions for emergency relief,  
17 no motion shall be filed until and in accordance with the Scheduling Order.

18  
19 *5.4 Motions.* No motion shall be filed under Rule 11, 12, or 56 without  
20 leave of court and unless it includes a certificate that the movant has conferred  
21 with opposing counsel in a good faith effort to resolve the matter without court  
22 action.

23  
24 *5.5 Orders of Transferor Courts.* All orders by transferor courts  
25 imposing dates for pleading or discovery are vacated.

26  
27 *5.6. Chambers Mail Box.* The Court maintains an electronic mail box for  
28 communications with the Courtroom Deputy and for receipt of proposed orders



1 in electronic format (either Word or WordPerfect). The electronic mail box for  
2 this docket is: JVS\_ToyotaMDL@cacd.uscourts.gov.

3

4 5.7. *Website.* The Court has established a web page accessible from the  
5 first page of the Court's website (click on "IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR  
6 CORP."). The Court will regularly post copies of orders, notices and other items  
7 of interest to the page.

8

9 6. *Later Filed Cases.* This Order shall also apply to related cases later filed  
10 in, removed to, or transferred to this Court.

11

12 7. *Applications for Lead and Liaison Counsel Appointments.* As noted  
13 above, the Court presently intends to appoint plaintiffs' lead counsel and liaison  
14 counsel. Applications for these positions must be filed with the clerk's office  
15 on or before April 30, 2010. The Court will only consider attorneys who have  
16 filed an action in this litigation. The main criteria for these appointments are (1)  
17 knowledge and experience in prosecuting complex litigation, including class  
18 actions; (2) willingness and ability to commit to a time-consuming process; (3)  
19 ability to work cooperatively with others; and (4) access to sufficient resources  
20 to prosecute the

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

April 14, 2010

1 litigation in a timely manner. Where appropriate, applications should also set  
2 forth attorney fee proposals, rates, and percentages that applicants expect to seek  
3 if the litigation succeeds in creating a common fund.

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 Dated: April 14, 2010



8  
9 James V. Selna  
United States District Judge

Exhibit A

Individual Cases

**IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED  
ACCELERATION MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL No. 2151**

Central District of California

2:09CV08143 JVS(FMOx) Seong Bae Choi et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation  
et al

2:09CV08478 JVS(FMOx) Eric Kmetz et al v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
et al

2:09CV09158 JVS(FMOx) Heather A. Lane v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

2:09CV09386 JVS(FMOx) Dale Baldisseri v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc.,  
et al.

2:10CV00706 JVS(FMOx) Adilia Aviles v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

2:10CV00710 JVS(FMOx) Roz Schwartz v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

2:10CV00799 JVS(FMOx) Matthew Marr, et al v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A.,  
Inc.

2:10CV00849 JVS(FMOx) Ani Gazaryan et al v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A.,  
Inc. et al

2:10CV00942 JVS(FMOx) Peter Wisner v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

2:10CV00946 JVS(FMOx) Lisa Creighton, et al v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A.,  
Inc. et al

2:10CV00947 JVS(FMOx) Elaine Byrnes v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc. et  
al

2:10CV01030 JVS(FMOx) Lacey Ludicina, et al v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A.,

1 Inc. et al

2 2:10CV01039 JVS(FMOx) Rhonda Talbot v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc. et  
3 al

4 2:10CV01057 JVS(FMOx) Jacqueline Donoghue v. Toyota Motor Sales,  
5 U.S.A., Inc.

6 2:10CV01073 JVS(FMOx) Max L. Lieberman et al v. Toyota Motor  
7 Corporation et al

8 2:10CV01078 JVS(FMOx) Teresa B. Myers et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation  
9 et al

10 2:10CV01094 JVS(FMOx) Kerri Madden v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

11 2:10CV01153 JVS(FMOx) Katy Boyask v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

12 2:10CV01154 JVS(FMOx) Jessica M. Kramer v. Toyota Motor North America,  
13 Inc. et al

14 2:10CV01234 JVS(FMOx) Joseph Hauter v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

15 2:10CV01247 JVS(FMOx) Sam Goldberger v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

16 2:10CV01248 JVS(FMOx) Lu Li v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et al

17 2:10CV01264 JVS(FMOx) Gloria Park v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

18 2:10CV01290 JVS(FMOx) Sandra Livingston v. Toyota Motor North America,  
19 Inc. et al

20 2:10CV01349 JVS(FMOx) Ernest Cornell v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

21 2:10CV01364 JVS(FMOx) Robert Booher v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc.  
22 et al

23 2:10CV01366 JVS(FMOx) Harry Williams v. Toyota Motor North America,  
24 Inc. et al

25 2:10CV01429 JVS(FMOx) Tom Mustric v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al "

26 2:10CV01452 JVS(FMOx) Kathryn A. Squires v. Toyota Motor Corporation et  
27 al

28 2:10CV01584 JVS(FMOx) Andrew Flury et al v. Toyota Motor North America,

1 Inc. et al

2 2:10CV01650 JVS(FMOx) Judy Warren, DDS v. Toyota Motor North America,  
3 Inc. et al

4 2:10CV01753 JVS(FMOx) Lorrie Krieger v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc.  
5 et al

6 2:10CV01756 JVS(FMOx) David Hanna v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc.  
7 et al

8 2:10CV01806 JVS(FMOx) Joseph Christian v. Toyota Motor North America,  
9 Inc. et al

10 2:10CV01821 JVS(FMOx) Mary Jo Crank, et al v. Toyota Motor North  
11 America, Inc. et al

12 2:10CV01822 JVS(FMOx) Nellie Yazitchyan, et al v. Toyota Motor North  
13 America, Inc. et al

14 2:10CV01825 JVS(FMOx) Walter McKinney, et al v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et  
15 al

16 2:10CV01939 JVS(FMOx) Linda Tang v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. et al

17 2:10CV01979 JVS(FMOx) Meredith Heller v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

18 2:10CV01997 JVS(FMOx) Jerome Cangelosi v. Toyota Motor North America. et al

19 2:10CV02021 JVS(FMOx) Thomas E. Gudmundson v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

20 2:10CV02022 JVS(FMOx) Donald Graham v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

21 2:10CV02023 JVS(FMOx) John Flook v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

22 2:10CV02077 JVS(FMOx) Rodney Josephson v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

23 2:10CV02078 JVS(FMOx) Gary Davis v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

24 2:10CV02080 JVS(FMOx) Ebony Brown v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

25 2:10CV02147 JVS(FMOx) Elizabeth Van Zyl v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

26 2:10CV02179 JVS(FMOx) Grace Shigematsu, et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

27 2:10CV02228 JVS(FMOx) Ellyn J. Broden v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

28 2:10CV02262 JVS(FMOx) Karen Bickel v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

1 2:10CV02264 JVS(FMOx) Sam Goldberger v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
2 2:10CV02271 JVS(FMOx) Christine Hotaling v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
3 2:10CV02272 JVS(FMOx) Henry Troup, et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
4 2:10CV02274 JVS(FMOx) Linda Summerville v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
5 2:10CV02276 JVS(FMOx) Robert Navarro v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
6 2:10CV02284 JVS(FMOx) Gary Brown v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
7 8:10CV00105 JVS(FMOx) Joseph Hauter v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
8 8:10CV00154 JVS(FMOx) Michael Choi, et. al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
9 8:10CV00173 JVS(FMOx) Alexsandra Del Real v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
10 8:10CV00183 JVS(FMOx) T. Leigh Beard, et. al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
11 8:10CV00312 JVS(FMOx) Green Spot Motors v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al  
12 8:10CV00328 JVS(FMOx) Dawn De Vincenzi v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
13 8:10CV00380 JVS(FMOx) Jean Dominquez v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
14 8:10CV00385 JVS(FMOx) Meetesh Shah v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

15 Middle District of Florida

16  
17 Michelle Lynch v. Toyota Motor Corp., et al., C.A. No. 8:10-326  
18

19 Southern District of Florida

20  
21 Jonathan Gellman v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc., C.A. No. 1:10-20006  
22

23 Eastern District of Louisiana

24  
25 Daniel Weimer, Jr., et al. v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:10-219  
26 Amanda R. Maillho v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:10-279  
27 Gary T. Brock v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:10-281  
28

1 Southern District of West Virginia

2

3 Michael Graves, et al. v. Toyota Motor Manufacturing, West Virginia, Inc., et al.,

4 C.A. No. 2:09-1247

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Exhibit B

Issues for Scheduling Conference Order

**General Matters, including:**

- Overview of the case: the key factual and legal issues, including any unusual substantive, procedural, or evidentiary issues
- Proposals for accomplishing the goals described in Rule 16(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
- Positions concerning the applicable topics described in Rule 16(c)
- Possible need for a consolidated pleading
- Requirements and expectations concerning compliance with the Federal Rules, the Local Rules for the Central District, and this Court's orders, rules, and procedures
- Jurisdictional issues, including whether any actions should be remanded to state courts
- Possible need for coordination with actions pending in state courts or with other categories of potentially related cases in federal court (e.g., securities cases).
- The service list

**Motion Practice, including:**



1 • Description and status of pending motions

2

3 • Description of presently anticipated motions

4

5 • Motion cut-off and briefing schedules

6

7 • Procedures for class certification motions

8

9 • Compliance with the Local Rules and this Court's orders re motions

10

11 **Discovery and Disclosures, including:**

12

13 • Dates and procedures for disclosures

14

15 • Subjects where discovery may be needed

16

17 • Sequencing of discovery

18

19 • Discovery cut-off dates(s) and whether discovery should be conducted in phases, or  
20 limited, or focused on particular issues

21

22 • Existence of trade secrets or other privileged materials, and possible need for a  
23 protective order

24

25 • Possible need for orders for the preservation of documents

26

27 • Possible need for a document depository

28

- 1 • Document numbering system
- 2
- 3 • Changes to the limitations on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules
- 4
- 5 • Provisions for disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information
- 6
- 7 • Expert discovery
- 8
- 9 • Procedures for resolving discovery disputes, including appointment of a special  
10 master
- 11 • **Settlement**, including:
- 12
- 13 • The nature and extent of discovery that must be completed before meaningful  
14 settlement discussions
- 15
- 16 • Appropriate dispute resolution mechanism
- 17
- 18 **Timetable**, including:
- 19
- 20 • Overall timetable for completing the docket
- 21
- 22 • Interim benchmarks and targets for tasks
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. **8:10ML2151 JVS (FMOx)**  
**ALL ACTIONS**

Date April 19, 2010

Title **IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED  
ACCELERATION MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Present: The Honorable James V. Selna

Karla J. Tunis

Deputy Clerk

Not Present

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Not Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

**Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order Re Clarifying the Scope of Applications**

The Court has been asked to clarify the scope of applications sought under paragraph 7 of the Court's April 14, 2010 order, Applications for Lead and Liaison Counsel Appointments.

Because the final leadership structure has not been determined, and indeed will be one of the matters resolved at the May 13, 2010 hearing, it is somewhat difficult to be more precise. The Court believes that applications should be submitted for any leadership position under the structure which a party advocates. By way of example, under the structure outlined in paragraph 2.1 of the April 14, 2010 order, applications would include applications for the following positions:

- One or more lead liaison counsel for the personal injury actions
- Membership on a committee for liaison counsel for the personal injury actions
- One or more lead counsel for the economic loss cases
- Membership on a committee for lead counsel for the economic loss cases

As outlined in the April 14, 2010 order, members of the core discovery committee would

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. **8:10ML2151 JVS (FMOx)**  
**ALL ACTIONS**

Date April 19, 2010

Title **IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED  
ACCELERATION MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

be drawn from members of the liaison counsel committee and the lead counsel committee.

The Court's goal is to establish the structure and to fill the leadership positions in that structure promptly at or shortly after the May 13, 2010 hearing.

Initials of Preparer

0 : 00  
kjt

Exhibit A

(Revised 4-22-20)

Individual Cases

**IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED  
ACCELERATION MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL No. 2151**

**Central District of California**

2:09CV08143 JVS(FMOx) Seong Bae Choi et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation et  
al

2:09CV08478 JVS(FMOx) Eric Kmetz et al v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. et  
al

2:09CV09158 JVS(FMOx) Heather A. Lane v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

2:09CV09386 JVS(FMOx) Dale Baldisseri v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc., et  
al.

2:10CV00706 JVS(FMOx) Adilia Aviles v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

2:10CV00710 JVS(FMOx) Roz Schwartz v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

2:10CV00799 JVS(FMOx) Matthew Marr, et al v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A.,  
Inc.

2:10CV00849 JVS(FMOx) Ani Gazaryan et al v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc.

1 et al

2 2:10CV00942 JVS(FMOx) Peter Wisner v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

3 2:10CV00946 JVS(FMOx) Lisa Creighton, et al v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A.,

4 Inc. et al

5 2:10CV00947 JVS(FMOx) Elaine Byrnes v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc. et al

6 2:10CV01030 JVS(FMOx) Lacey Ludicina, et al v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A.,

7 Inc. et al

8 2:10CV01039 JVS(FMOx) Rhonda Talbot v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc. et al

9 2:10CV01057 JVS(FMOx) Jacqueline Donoghue v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A.,

10 Inc.

11 2:10CV01073 JVS(FMOx) Max L. Lieberman et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation

12 et al

13 2:10CV01078 JVS(FMOx) Teresa B. Myers et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation et

14 al

15 2:10CV01094 JVS(FMOx) Kerri Madden v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

16 2:10CV01153 JVS(FMOx) Katy Boyask v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

17 2:10CV01154 JVS(FMOx) Jessica M. Kramer v. Toyota Motor North America,

18 Inc. et al

19 2:10CV01248 JVS(FMOx) Lu Li v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et al

20 2:10CV01264 JVS(FMOx) Gloria Park v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

21 2:10CV01290 JVS(FMOx) Sandra Livingston v. Toyota Motor North America,

22 Inc. et al

23

24

1 2:10CV01349 JVS(FMOx) Ernest Cornell v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al

2 2:10CV01364 JVS(FMOx) Robert Booher v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et  
3 al

4 2:10CV01366 JVS(FMOx) Harry Williams v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc.  
5 et al

6  
7 2:10CV01584 JVS(FMOx) Andrew Flury et al v. Toyota Motor North America,  
8 Inc. et al

9 2:10CV01650 JVS(FMOx) Judy Warren, DDS v. Toyota Motor North America,  
10 Inc. et al

11  
12 2:10CV01753 JVS(FMOx) Lorrie Krieger v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et  
13 al

14 2:10CV01756 JVS(FMOx) David Hanna v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et  
15 al

16 2:10CV01806 JVS(FMOx) Joseph Christian v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc.  
17 et al

18  
19 2:10CV01821 JVS(FMOx) Mary Jo Crank, et al v. Toyota Motor North America,  
20 Inc. et al

21 2:10CV01822 JVS(FMOx) Nellie Yazitchyan, et al v. Toyota Motor North  
22 America, Inc. et al

23 2:10CV01825 JVS(FMOx) Walter McKinney, et al v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc. et  
24 al

25  
26 2:10CV01927 JVS(FMOx) Beverly Yip v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

27

28

1 2:10CV01939 JVS(FMOx) Linda Tang v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. et al

2 2:10CV01979 JVS(FMOx) Meredith Heller v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

3 2:10CV01997 JVS(FMOx) Jerome Cangelosi v. Toyota Motor North America, et al

4 2:10CV02021 JVS(FMOx) Thomas E. Gudmundson v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

5 2:10CV02022 JVS(FMOx) Donald Graham v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

6 2:10CV02023 JVS(FMOx) John Flook v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

7 2:10CV02077 JVS(FMOx) Rodney Josephson v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

8 2:10CV02078 JVS(FMOx) Gary Davis v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

9 2:10CV02080 JVS(FMOx) Ebony Brown v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al

10 2:10CV02147 JVS(FMOx) Elizabeth Van Zyl v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

11 2:10CV02179 JVS(FMOx) Grace Shigematsu, et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

12 2:10CV02228 JVS(FMOx) Ellyn J. Broden v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

13 2:10CV02262 JVS(FMOx) Karen Bickel v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

14 2:10CV02264 JVS(FMOx) Sam Goldberger v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

15 2:10CV02271 JVS(FMOx) Christine Hotaling v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

16 2:10CV02272 JVS(FMOx) Henry Troup, et al v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

17 2:10CV02274 JVS(FMOx) Linda Summerville v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

18 2:10CV02276 JVS(FMOx) Robert Navarro v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

19 2:10CV02284 JVS(FMOx) Gary Brown v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al

20 2:10CV02528 JVS(FMOx) SPP Inc. v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A. Inc., et al

21 8:10CV00105 JVS(FMOx) Joseph Hauter v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

22 8:10CV00154 JVS(FMOx) Michael Choi v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

23

24

25

26

27

28



1 8:10CV00173 JVS(FMOx) Alexsandra Del Real v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
2 8:10CV00183 JVS(FMOx) T. Leigh Beard, et. al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.  
3 8:10CV00312 JVS(FMOx) Green Spot Motors v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al  
4 8:10CV00328 JVS(FMOx) Dawn De Vincenzi v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
5 8:10CV00380 JVS(FMOx) Jean Dominquez v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
6 8:10CV00385 JVS(FMOx) Meetesh Shah v. Toyota Motor Corporation. et al  
7 8:10CV00458 JVS(FMOx) Brian Deis v. Toyota Motor Corporation, et al.  
8  
9

10 **Middle District of Florida**  
11

12 8:10CV00464 JVS(FMOx) Michelle Lynch v. Toyota Motor Corp., et al.,  
13

14 **Southern District of Florida**

15 8:10CV00465 JVS(FMOx) Jonathan Gellman v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc.,  
16

17 **Eastern District of Louisiana**  
18

19 8:10CV00466 JVS(FMOx) Daniel Weimer, Jr., et al. v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc.,  
20 et al.,

21 8:10CV00467 JVS(FMOx) Amanda R. Maillho v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc., et al.  
22

23 8:10CV00468 JVS(FMOx) Gary T. Brock v. Toyota Motor North America, Inc., et al.  
24

25 **Southern District of West Virginia**

26 8:10CV00469 JVS(FMOx) Michael Graves, et al. v. Toyota Motor Manufacturing, West  
27  
28

1 Virginia, Inc., et al.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28